

LECTURE NOTES 20

RELATIVISTIC ELECTRODYNAMICS of MOVING MACROSCOPIC MEDIA

We have discussed the relativistic aspects of electrodynamics associated with moving electric charges and electric currents: $J^\mu = (c\rho, \vec{J})$.

For **macroscopic** media, restricting ourselves here (for simplicity/clarity's sake) to **linear, homogeneous, isotropic** materials, such as "class A" dielectrics and magnetic materials that are described in classical electrodynamics by the auxiliary 3-D macroscopic fields:

$$\vec{D} \equiv \epsilon \vec{E}$$

$$\vec{H} \equiv \frac{1}{\mu} \vec{B}$$

n.b. $\epsilon, \mu, K_e, K_m, \chi_e, \chi_m$ are **not** Lorentz invariant quantities !!!
 c, ϵ_0, μ_0 **are** Lorentz invariant !!!

$\epsilon = $ scalar quantity = macroscopic electric permittivity of the material.	$\mu = $ scalar quantity = macroscopic magnetic permeability of the material.
$K_e \equiv \epsilon/\epsilon_0 = $ scalar quantity = relative electric permittivity of the material (<i>a.k.a.</i> dielectric "constant").	$K_m \equiv \mu/\mu_0 = $ scalar quantity = relative magnetic permeability of the material.
$\chi_e \equiv K_e - 1 = $ scalar quantity = electric susceptibility of the material.	$\chi_m \equiv K_m - 1 = $ scalar quantity = magnetic susceptibility of the material.
$\chi_e = \epsilon/\epsilon_0 - 1$ or: $\epsilon = \epsilon_0 (1 + \chi_e)$	$\chi_m = \mu/\mu_0 - 1$ or: $\mu = \mu_0 (1 + \chi_m)$

Note that: $\epsilon, \mu, K_e, K_m, \chi_e, \chi_m$ are **all** defined in the **rest/proper frame** of the **linear** material.

Note also that: $c = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}$, ϵ_0, μ_0 and hence: $Z_0 = \sqrt{\mu_0/\epsilon_0}$ are **all** Lorentz invariant quantities:

$$c = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0} = \text{speed of light/EM waves in free space/vacuum} = 3.0 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s.}$$

$$\epsilon_0 \equiv \text{macroscopic electric permittivity of free space/vacuum} = 8.85 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F/m.}$$

$$\mu_0 \equiv \text{macroscopic magnetic permeability of free space/vacuum} = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ H/m.}$$

$$Z_0 = \sqrt{\mu_0/\epsilon_0} = \text{macroscopic impedance of free space/vacuum} = 120\pi \Omega \approx 377 \Omega.$$

Thus, for **linear** macroscopic materials, the following 3-D vector quantities are defined in the **rest/proper frame** of the **linear** material:

$$\vec{D}(\vec{r}, t) = \epsilon_0 (1 + \chi_e) \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) \quad \text{and:} \quad \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t) = \mu_0 (1 + \chi_m) \vec{H}(\vec{r}, t)$$

$$\vec{P}(\vec{r}, t) \equiv \epsilon_0 \chi_e \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) = n \langle \vec{p}(\vec{r}, t) \rangle = \text{electric polarization} = \text{electric dipole moment per unit volume.}$$

$$\vec{M}(\vec{r}, t) \equiv \chi_m \vec{H}(\vec{r}, t) = n \langle \vec{m}(\vec{r}, t) \rangle = \text{magnetization} = \text{magnetic dipole moment per unit volume.}$$

Thus, we obtain the {usual} constitutive/auxilliary relations for linear media defined in the **rest/proper frame** of the linear material:

$$\boxed{\vec{D}(\vec{r}, t) = \epsilon_o \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) + \vec{P}(\vec{r}, t)} \quad \text{and:} \quad \boxed{\vec{H}(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\mu_o} \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t) - \vec{M}(\vec{r}, t)}$$

In order to go over to a relativistic formulation of these relations, we **must** be careful/precise in their physical meaning. In particular, the electric permittivity, ϵ and magnetic permeability, μ are defined in the rest frame of the material – *i.e.* ϵ is the **proper** electric permittivity and μ is the **proper** magnetic permeability.

Thus, the macroscopic fields $\vec{D}, \vec{P}, \vec{E}$ and $\vec{H}, \vec{M}, \vec{B}$ are all defined in the **rest/proper frame** of the **linear** material – *i.e.* they are **proper** macroscopic electromagnetic fields.

Because we already know/understand the Lorentz transformation properties of \vec{E} and \vec{B} , we can write the auxiliary/constitutive relations as:

$$\boxed{\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_o} (\vec{D}(\vec{r}, t) - \vec{P}(\vec{r}, t))} \quad \text{and:} \quad \boxed{\vec{B}(\vec{r}, t) = \mu_o (\vec{H}(\vec{r}, t) + \vec{M}(\vec{r}, t))}$$

The relativistic *EM* field tensor $F^{\mu\nu}$ is:

$$F^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_x/c & E_y/c & E_z/c \\ -E_x/c & 0 & B_z & -B_y \\ -E_y/c & -B_z & 0 & B_x \\ -E_z/c & B_y & -B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and its relativistic **dual** tensor $G^{\mu\nu}$ is:

$$G^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_x & B_y & B_z \\ -B_x & 0 & -E_z/c & E_y/c \\ -B_y & E_z/c & 0 & -E_x/c \\ -B_z & -E_y/c & E_x/c & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} F_{\lambda\sigma}$$

We construct a rank-2 anti-symmetric tensor $D^{\mu\nu}$ (analogous to $F^{\mu\nu}$) for the \vec{D} and \vec{H} fields. It describes the (relativistic six-component) “electromagnetic displacement” field $D^{\mu\nu}$.

{*n.b.* again, comparing forms in different textbooks, variations for $D^{\mu\nu}$ will be found that depend on the choice/definition of the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ used and conventions *r.e.* overall constants, *etc.* !!! }.

Taken together, the auxiliary/constitutive relations $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{E} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_o} (\vec{D} - \vec{P}) \\ \vec{B} = \mu_o (\vec{H} + \vec{M}) \end{array} \right\}$ suggest replacing $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{E} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\epsilon_o} \vec{D} \\ \vec{B} \rightarrow \mu_o \vec{H} \end{array} \right\}$

However, it is a little “cleaner” if we **also** divide through by μ_o , *i.e.* replace $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{E} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu_o \epsilon_o} \vec{D} = c^2 \vec{D} \\ \vec{B} \rightarrow \vec{H} \end{array} \right\}$.

Then $D^{\mu\nu}$ becomes:

$$D^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & cD_x & cD_y & cD_z \\ -cD_x & 0 & H_z & -H_y \\ -cD_y & -H_z & 0 & H_x \\ -cD_z & H_y & -H_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

SI units check:

$$D = \frac{\text{Coul}}{m^2}, \quad H = \frac{\text{Amps}}{m}$$

$$cD = \frac{\text{Coul}}{m^2} \frac{m}{\text{sec}} = \frac{\text{Amp}}{m}$$

We also construct the relativistic **dual** of $D^{\mu\nu}$, which is $H^{\mu\nu}$ (the analog of $G^{\mu\nu}$), defined as:

$$H^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H_x & H_y & H_z \\ -H_x & 0 & -cD_z & cD_y \\ -H_y & cD_z & 0 & -cD_x \\ -H_z & -cD_y & cD_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} D_{\lambda\sigma}$$

The relativistic dual tensor $H^{\mu\nu}$ may also be obtained directly from $D^{\mu\nu}$ by carrying out an appropriate **duality transformation** on the \vec{D} and \vec{H} -fields, analogous to that for the \vec{E} and \vec{B} -fields:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} E' = E \cos \varphi_D + cB \sin \varphi_D \\ cB' = cB \cos \varphi_D - E \sin \varphi_D \end{array} \right\} \quad \varphi_D = -90^\circ = -\frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{or:} \quad \begin{pmatrix} E' \\ cB' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_D + \sin \varphi_D \\ -\sin \varphi_D \cos \varphi_D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E \\ cB \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} cD' = cD \cos \varphi_D + H \sin \varphi_D \\ H' = H \cos \varphi_D - cD \sin \varphi_D \end{array} \right\} \quad \varphi = -90^\circ = -\frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{or:} \quad \begin{pmatrix} cD' \\ H' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_D + \sin \varphi_D \\ -\sin \varphi_D \cos \varphi_D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} cD \\ H \end{pmatrix}$$

Then for $\varphi_D = -90^\circ$, we replace: $cD \rightarrow +H$ and: $H \rightarrow -cD$ in $D^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow H^{\mu\nu}$:

$$D^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & cD_x & cD_y & cD_z \\ -cD_x & 0 & H_z & -H_y \\ -cD_y & -H_z & 0 & H_x \\ -cD_z & H_y & -H_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow H^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H_x & H_y & H_z \\ -H_x & 0 & -cD_z & cD_y \\ -H_y & cD_z & 0 & -cD_x \\ -H_z & -cD_y & cD_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} D_{\lambda\sigma}$$

Likewise, we may analogously define/construct a rank-2 anti-symmetric tensor $P^{\mu\nu}$ for the \vec{P} and \vec{M} fields describing the (relativistic six-component) electromagnetic “polarization” field $P^{\mu\nu}$. Since \vec{P} has the same SI units as \vec{D} $\left(\frac{\text{Coulombs}}{\text{meter}}\right)$ and \vec{M} has the same SI units as \vec{H} $\left(\frac{\text{Amps}}{\text{meter}}\right)$ we see that:

$$P^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & cP_x & cP_y & cP_z \\ -cP_x & 0 & -M_z & M_y \\ -cP_y & M_z & 0 & -M_x \\ -cP_z & -M_y & M_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note the **minus sign reversal** here for M_x, M_y, M_z relative to P_x, P_y, P_z due to

$$\vec{D} = \epsilon_o \vec{E} + \vec{P} \quad \text{vs.} \quad \vec{H} = \frac{1}{\mu_o} \vec{B} - \vec{M} \quad !!!$$

Again, we can construct a relativistic **dual** tensor of $P^{\mu\nu}$ which we call $M^{\mu\nu}$ {the analog of $G^{\mu\nu}$, for $F^{\mu\nu}$ and/or $H^{\mu\nu}$, for $D^{\mu\nu}$ }, defined as:

$M^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -M_x & -M_y & -M_z \\ M_x & 0 & -cP_z & cP_y \\ M_y & cP_z & 0 & -cP_x \\ M_z & -cP_y & cP_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} P_{\lambda\sigma}$	Again, note the minus sign reversal here for M_x, M_y, M_z relative to P_x, P_y, P_z due to $\boxed{\vec{D} = \epsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P}}$ vs. $\boxed{\vec{H} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \vec{B} - \vec{M}}$!!!
---	--

Again, the relativistic **dual** tensor $M^{\mu\nu}$ can be obtained directly from $P^{\mu\nu}$ by carrying out the same duality transformation as above, but on the \vec{P} and \vec{M} fields. Since \vec{P} has the same SI units as \vec{D} , and \vec{M} has the same SI units as \vec{H} we see that:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -cP' = -cP \cos \varphi_D + M \sin \varphi_D \\ M' = +M \cos \varphi_D + cP \sin \varphi_D \end{array} \right\} \varphi = -90^\circ = -\frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{or:} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -cP' \\ M' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_D + \sin \varphi_D \\ -\sin \varphi_D \cos \varphi_D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -cP \\ M \end{pmatrix}$$

Then for $\varphi_D = -90^\circ$, we replace: $\boxed{cP \rightarrow -M}$ and: $\boxed{M \rightarrow +cP}$ in $\boxed{P^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow M^{\mu\nu}}$:

$$P^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & cP_x & cP_y & cP_z \\ -cP_x & 0 & -M_z & M_y \\ -cP_y & M_z & 0 & -M_x \\ -cP_z & -M_y & M_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow M^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -M_x & -M_y & -M_z \\ M_x & 0 & -cP_z & cP_y \\ M_y & cP_z & 0 & -cP_x \\ M_z & -cP_y & cP_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} P_{\lambda\sigma}$$

From the classical electrodynamic auxiliary/constitutive relations: $\boxed{\vec{D} = \epsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P}}$ and: $\boxed{\vec{H} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \vec{B} - \vec{M}}$

or: $\boxed{\vec{E} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} (\vec{D} - \vec{P})}$ and: $\boxed{\vec{B} = \mu_0 (\vec{H} + \vec{M})}$ we see that their (combined) relativistic equivalents, in terms of the *EM* field tensors and their **duals** are:

$$\boxed{D^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} F^{\mu\nu} + P^{\mu\nu}} \quad \text{and:} \quad \boxed{H^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} G^{\mu\nu} + M^{\mu\nu}} \quad \text{n.b. **must** be + here !!!}$$

or: $\boxed{F^{\mu\nu} = \mu_0 (D^{\mu\nu} - P^{\mu\nu})}$ and: $\boxed{G^{\mu\nu} = \mu_0 (H^{\mu\nu} - M^{\mu\nu})}$

n.b. If we **define** $\boxed{D^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{\mu_0} F^{\mu\nu} + P^{\mu\nu}}$ then we **must** have the + sign in $\boxed{H^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} G^{\mu\nu} + M^{\mu\nu}}$

because the **dual** EM field tensor $\boxed{G^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} F_{\lambda\sigma}}$

connects to: $\boxed{G^{\mu\nu} = \mu_0 (H^{\mu\nu} - M^{\mu\nu}) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} F_{\lambda\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} (D_{\lambda\sigma} - P_{\lambda\sigma})}$.

Thus the macroscopic relativistic EM field tensors and their duals are:

$$F^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_x/c & E_y/c & E_z/c \\ -E_x/c & 0 & B_z & -B_y \\ -E_y/c & -B_z & 0 & B_x \\ -E_z/c & B_y & -B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad G^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_x & B_y & B_z \\ -B_x & 0 & -E_z/c & E_y/c \\ -B_y & E_z/c & 0 & -E_x/c \\ -B_z & -E_y/c & E_x/c & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} F_{\lambda\sigma}$$

$$D^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & cD_x & cD_y & cD_z \\ -cD_x & 0 & H_z & -H_y \\ -cD_y & -H_z & 0 & H_x \\ -cD_z & H_y & -H_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad H^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H_x & H_y & H_z \\ -H_x & 0 & -cD_z & cD_y \\ -H_y & cD_z & 0 & -cD_x \\ -H_z & -cD_y & cD_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} D_{\lambda\sigma}$$

$$P^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & cP_x & cP_y & cP_z \\ -cP_x & 0 & -M_z & M_y \\ -cP_y & M_z & 0 & -M_x \\ -cP_z & -M_y & M_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad M^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -M_x & -M_y & -M_z \\ M_x & 0 & -cP_z & cP_y \\ M_y & cP_z & 0 & -cP_x \\ M_z & -cP_y & cP_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} P_{\lambda\sigma}$$

Let us explicitly check the correctness/validity of these relations – assuming things are internally correct within each of the EM field tensors $T^{\mu\nu}$, then we only need to check two non-zero elements:

For the T^{01} components:

$$\begin{aligned} D^{01} &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} F^{01} + P^{01} \\ cD_x &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} \frac{E_x}{c} + cP_x \\ D_x &= \frac{1}{\mu_0 c^2} E_x + P_x \\ D_x &= \varepsilon_0 E_x + P_x \\ \text{or:} \\ \vec{D} &= \varepsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P} \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} H^{01} &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} G^{01} + M^{01} \\ H_x &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} B_x - M_x \\ \text{or:} \\ \vec{H} &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} \vec{B} - \vec{M} \end{aligned}$$

For the T^{12} components:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{12} &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} G^{12} + M^{12} \\ -cD_z &= -\frac{1}{\mu_0 c} E_z - cP_z \\ D_z &= \frac{1}{\mu_0 c^2} E_z + P_z \\ D_z &= \varepsilon_0 E_z + P_z \\ \text{or:} \\ \vec{D} &= \varepsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P} \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} D^{12} &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} F^{12} + P^{12} \\ H_z &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} B_z - M_z \\ \text{or:} \\ \vec{H} &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} \vec{B} - \vec{M} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the relativistic auxiliary/constitutive relations and their duals:

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} F^{\mu\nu} + P^{\mu\nu} & \text{and:} & \quad H^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} G^{\mu\nu} + M^{\mu\nu} \\ \text{or:} & \quad F^{\mu\nu} = \mu_0 (D^{\mu\nu} - P^{\mu\nu}) & \text{and:} & \quad G^{\mu\nu} = \mu_0 (H^{\mu\nu} - M^{\mu\nu}) \end{aligned}$$

Lorentz Transformations of the Relativistic Macroscopic Electrodynamical Fields $\{F^{\mu\nu}, D^{\mu\nu}, P^{\mu\nu}\}$ and Their Duals $\{G^{\mu\nu}, H^{\mu\nu}, M^{\mu\nu}\}$

We already know/have shown that in the relativistic *EM* fields in frame IRF(S') are related to those in IRF(S) via: $F'^{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\mu_\lambda F^{\lambda\sigma} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma$ and $G'^{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\mu_\lambda G^{\lambda\sigma} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma$, where $\Lambda^\mu_\nu =$ the Lorentz transformation tensor.

For **linear** macroscopic media, we have **linear** relations between $\{\vec{D}, \vec{E}, \vec{P}\}$ and also between $\{\vec{H}, \vec{B}, \vec{M}\}$, which hold relativistically between $D^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} F^{\mu\nu} + P^{\mu\nu}$ and also $H^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} G^{\mu\nu} + M^{\mu\nu}$.

\therefore We explicitly see using the Lorentz transformations of individual field quantities defined in IRF(S) to the frame IRF(S'): $D'^{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\mu_\lambda D^{\lambda\sigma} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma$, $F'^{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\mu_\lambda F^{\lambda\sigma} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma$ and: $P'^{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\mu_\lambda P^{\lambda\sigma} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{That: } D^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} F^{\mu\nu} + P^{\mu\nu} &\Rightarrow \Lambda^\mu_\lambda \left[D^{\lambda\sigma} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} F^{\lambda\sigma} + P^{\lambda\sigma} \right] \Lambda^\nu_\sigma \\ \Rightarrow \Lambda^\mu_\lambda D^{\lambda\sigma} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \Lambda^\mu_\lambda F^{\lambda\sigma} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma + \Lambda^\mu_\lambda P^{\lambda\sigma} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma &\Rightarrow D'^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} F'^{\mu\nu} + P'^{\mu\nu} \text{ holds in IRF}(S'). \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, for the **dual** tensors we explicitly see using the Lorentz transformations of individual field quantities defined in IRF(S) to the frame IRF(S'): $H'^{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\mu_\lambda H^{\lambda\sigma} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma$, $G'^{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\mu_\lambda G^{\lambda\sigma} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma$ and: $M'^{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\mu_\lambda M^{\lambda\sigma} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{That: } H^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} G^{\mu\nu} + M^{\mu\nu} &\Rightarrow \Lambda^\mu_\lambda \left[H^{\lambda\sigma} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} G^{\lambda\sigma} + M^{\lambda\sigma} \right] \Lambda^\nu_\sigma \\ \Rightarrow \Lambda^\mu_\lambda H^{\lambda\sigma} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \Lambda^\mu_\lambda G^{\lambda\sigma} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma + \Lambda^\mu_\lambda M^{\lambda\sigma} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma &\Rightarrow H'^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} G'^{\mu\nu} + M'^{\mu\nu} \text{ holds in IRF}(S'). \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, we can **alternatively** express these as a **simple** Lorentz transformation of the macroscopic $\{\vec{E}, \vec{D}, \vec{P}\}$ and $\{\vec{B}, \vec{H}, \vec{M}\}$ fields, *e.g.* for a Lorentz transformation from the **rest/proper frame** IRF(S) where the macroscopic $\{\vec{E}, \vec{D}, \vec{P}\}$ and $\{\vec{B}, \vec{H}, \vec{M}\}$ fields are defined, to another IRF(S') moving with velocity $\vec{v} \parallel$ to one of the \hat{x} , \hat{y} , or \hat{z} axes (*e.g.* $\vec{v} = +v\hat{x}$).

We have already shown {in P436 Lecture Notes 19 p. 1-3} that the components of \vec{E} and \vec{B} that are \parallel and \perp to the boost direction (\vec{v}) transform as:

IRF(S'): IRF(S):

$$\boxed{E'^{\parallel} = E^{\parallel}}$$

IRF(S'): IRF(S):

$$\boxed{B'^{\parallel} = B^{\parallel}}$$

$$\boxed{E'^{\perp} = \gamma \left(E^{\perp} + (\vec{v} \times \vec{B})^{\perp} \right)}$$

$$= \gamma \left(E^{\perp} + (\vec{\beta} \times c\vec{B})^{\perp} \right)$$

$$\boxed{B'^{\perp} = \gamma \left(B^{\perp} - \frac{1}{c^2} (\vec{v} \times \vec{E})^{\perp} \right)}$$

$$= \gamma \left(B^{\perp} - \left(\vec{\beta} \times \frac{\vec{E}}{c} \right)^{\perp} \right)$$

where: $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}$ and: $\beta = \frac{v}{c}$
 $\vec{\beta} = \frac{\vec{v}}{c}$

Thus:

IRF(S'): IRF(S):

$$\boxed{D'^{\parallel} = D^{\parallel}}$$

IRF(S'): IRF(S):

$$\boxed{H'^{\parallel} = H^{\parallel}}$$

$$\boxed{D'^{\perp} = \gamma \left(D^{\perp} + \frac{1}{c^2} (\vec{v} \times \vec{H})^{\perp} \right)}$$

$$= \gamma \left(D^{\perp} + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{\beta} \times \vec{H})^{\perp} \right)$$

$$\boxed{H'^{\perp} = \gamma \left(H^{\perp} - (\vec{v} \times \vec{D})^{\perp} \right)}$$

$$= \gamma \left(H^{\perp} - c (\vec{\beta} \times \vec{D})^{\perp} \right)$$

And similarly:

IRF(S'): IRF(S):

$$\boxed{P'^{\parallel} = P^{\parallel}}$$

IRF(S'): IRF(S):

$$\boxed{M'^{\parallel} = M^{\parallel}}$$

$$\boxed{P'^{\perp} = \gamma \left(P^{\perp} - \frac{1}{c^2} (\vec{v} \times \vec{M})^{\perp} \right)}$$

$$= \gamma \left(P^{\perp} - \frac{1}{c} (\vec{\beta} \times \vec{M})^{\perp} \right)$$

$$\boxed{M'^{\perp} = \gamma \left(M^{\perp} + (\vec{v} \times \vec{P})^{\perp} \right)}$$

$$= \gamma \left(M^{\perp} + c (\vec{\beta} \times \vec{P})^{\perp} \right)$$

n.b. note the sign reversals here relative to the above relations !!!

And we also have:

In IRF(S'):

$$\boxed{\vec{D}' = \epsilon_o \vec{E}' + \vec{P}'}$$

$$\boxed{\vec{H}' = \frac{1}{\mu_o} \vec{B}' - \vec{M}'}$$

In IRF(S):

$$\boxed{\vec{D} = \epsilon_o \vec{E} + \vec{P}}$$

$$\boxed{\vec{H} = \frac{1}{\mu_o} \vec{B} - \vec{M}}$$

n.b. We see from these two relations that in the rest/proper frame IRF(S_0) of a polarized dielectric with electric polarization \vec{P}_0 (or a magnetized material with magnetization \vec{M}_0) if the linear material is moving e.g. with velocity $\vec{v} = +v\hat{x}$ in the lab frame IRF(S), an observer in the lab frame will "see" a combination of electric polarization \vec{P} and magnetization \vec{M} in both cases!

n.b. $\epsilon_o, \mu_o, c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_o \mu_o}}$ are all Lorentz invariant quantities.

Relativistic Invariants Associated with Macroscopic Relativistic EM Fields

We have already shown/discussed that for the \vec{E} and \vec{B} -field tensors $F^{\mu\nu}$ and $G^{\mu\nu}$, there are two and **only** two relativistic Lorentz invariant quantities:

$$1) \quad F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = -G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} = -G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} = 2 \left(B^2 - \left(\frac{E}{c} \right)^2 \right) \quad \Leftarrow \quad \text{EM field energy density difference } U_E - U_M$$

$$2) \quad F^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} = G^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{4}{c} (\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}) \quad \Leftarrow \quad \text{Transversality of } E \text{ and } B$$

Since the (inner) product of a **contravariant** tensor of rank- n with **any other covariant** tensor of the same rank- n is a Lorentz invariant quantity (*i.e.* **same** value in **any** inertial reference frame, we see that for $\{F^{\mu\nu}, D^{\mu\nu}, P^{\mu\nu}\}$ and their **duals** $\{G^{\mu\nu}, H^{\mu\nu}, M^{\mu\nu}\}$ we can literally have a “field day” / go **wild {!!!}** and form **many** additional Lorentz invariant quantities, such as:

$$3) \quad D^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\nu} = D_{\mu\nu} D^{\mu\nu} = -H^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} = -H_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu} = 2 \left(H^2 - (cD)^2 \right)$$

$$4) \quad D^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} = D_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\nu} = H_{\mu\nu} D^{\mu\nu} = -4c (\vec{D} \cdot \vec{H})$$

$$5) \quad P^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu} = P_{\mu\nu} P^{\mu\nu} = -M^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} = -M_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} = 2 \left(M^2 - (cP)^2 \right)$$

$$6) \quad P^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} = P_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} = M^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu} = M_{\mu\nu} P^{\mu\nu} = +4c (\vec{P} \cdot \vec{M}) \quad \Leftarrow \quad \text{See these lecture notes, p.10 for details.}$$

We can also form the “crossed” Lorentz invariants:

$$7) \quad F^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu} D^{\mu\nu} = D^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = D_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = -G^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} = -G_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu} = -H^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} = -H_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}$$

$$8) \quad F^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu} P^{\mu\nu} = P^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = P_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = -G^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} = -G_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} = -M^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} = -M_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}$$

$$9) \quad D^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu} = D_{\mu\nu} P^{\mu\nu} = P^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\nu} = P_{\mu\nu} D^{\mu\nu} = -H^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} = -H_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} = -M^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} = -M_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu}$$

As well as:

$$10) \quad F^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} = M^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = M_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = -G^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu} = -G_{\mu\nu} P^{\mu\nu} = -P^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} = -P_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}$$

$$11) \quad D^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} = D_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} = G^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu} D^{\mu\nu} = -H^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = -H_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = -F^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} = -F_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu}$$

$$12) \quad D^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} = D_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} = M^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\nu} = M_{\mu\nu} D^{\mu\nu} = -H^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu} = -H_{\mu\nu} P^{\mu\nu} = -P^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} = -P_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu}$$

Thus, we can form a total of 12 unique **bi-linear** Lorentz invariant quantities using the relativistic macroscopic EM field tensors $\{F^{\mu\nu}, D^{\mu\nu}, P^{\mu\nu}\}$ and their **duals** $\{G^{\mu\nu}, H^{\mu\nu}, M^{\mu\nu}\}$.

We explicitly work out the first **four** of these new Lorentz invariant quantities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 3) \quad D^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\nu} &= +D^{00}D^{00} - D^{01}D^{01} - D^{02}D^{02} - D^{03}D^{03} \\
 &\quad - D^{10}D^{10} + D^{11}D^{11} + D^{12}D^{12} + D^{13}D^{13} \\
 &\quad - D^{20}D^{20} + D^{21}D^{21} + D^{22}D^{22} + D^{23}D^{23} \\
 &\quad - D^{30}D^{30} + D^{31}D^{31} + D^{32}D^{32} + D^{33}D^{33} \\
 &= \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & -c^2 D_x^2 & -c^2 D_y^2 & -c^2 D_z^2 \\ -c^2 D_x^2 & +0 & +H_z^2 & +H_y^2 \\ -c^2 D_y^2 & +H_z^2 & 0 & +H_x^2 \\ -c^2 D_z^2 & +H_y^2 & +H_x^2 & +0 \end{array} \\
 &= 2(H^2 - c^2 D^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 4) \quad D^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} &= +D^{00}H^{00} - D^{01}H^{01} - D^{02}H^{02} - D^{03}H^{03} \\
 &\quad - D^{10}H^{10} + D^{11}H^{11} + D^{12}H^{12} + D^{13}H^{13} \\
 &\quad - D^{20}H^{20} + D^{21}H^{21} + D^{22}H^{22} + D^{23}H^{23} \\
 &\quad - D^{30}H^{30} + D^{31}H^{31} + D^{32}H^{32} + D^{33}H^{33} \\
 &= \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & -cD_x H_x & -c^2 D_y H_x & -cD_z H_z \\ -c^2 D_x^2 & +0 & +H_z^2 & +H_y^2 \\ -c^2 D_y^2 & +H_z^2 & 0 & +H_x^2 \\ -c^2 D_z^2 & +H_y^2 & +H_x^2 & +0 \end{array} \\
 &= -4c(\vec{D} \cdot \vec{H})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 5) \quad P^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu} &= +P^{00}P^{00} - P^{01}P^{01} - P^{02}P^{02} - P^{03}P^{03} \\
 &\quad - P^{10}P^{10} + P^{11}P^{11} + P^{12}P^{12} + P^{13}P^{13} \\
 &\quad - P^{20}P^{20} + P^{21}P^{21} + P^{22}P^{22} + P^{23}P^{23} \\
 &\quad - P^{30}P^{30} + P^{31}P^{31} + P^{32}P^{32} + P^{33}P^{33} \\
 &= \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & -c^2 P_x^2 & -c^2 P_y^2 & -c^2 P_z^2 \\ -c^2 P_x^2 & +0 & +M_z^2 & +M_y^2 \\ -c^2 P_y^2 & +M_z^2 & +0 & +M_x^2 \\ -c^2 P_z^2 & +M_y^2 & +M_x^2 & +0 \end{array} \\
 &= 2(M^2 - c^2 P^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 6) \quad P^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} &= +P^{00}M^{00} - P^{01}M^{01} - P^{02}M^{02} - P^{03}M^{03} \\
 &\quad - P^{10}M^{10} + P^{11}M^{11} + P^{12}M^{12} + P^{13}M^{13} \\
 &\quad - P^{20}M^{20} + P^{21}M^{21} + P^{22}M^{22} + P^{23}M^{23} \\
 &\quad - P^{30}M^{30} + P^{31}M^{31} + P^{32}M^{32} + P^{33}M^{33} \\
 &= 0 + P_x M_x + c^2 P_y M_y + c P_z M_z \\
 &\quad + c P_x M_x + 0 + c P_z M_z + c P_y M_y \\
 &\quad + c P_y M_y + c P_z M_z + 0 + c P_x M_x \\
 &\quad + c P_z M_z + c P_y M_y + c P_x M_x + 0 \\
 &= +4c(\vec{P} \cdot \vec{M})
 \end{aligned}$$

We can also form “higher-order” tri-linear and quadri-linear invariants from combinations of $\{F^{\mu\nu}, D^{\mu\nu}, P^{\mu\nu}\}$ and $\{G^{\mu\nu}, H^{\mu\nu}, M^{\mu\nu}\}$, e.g.:

$$F^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\lambda} P_{\nu}^{\lambda} \text{ (and all allowed permutations):}$$

$$F^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\lambda} P_{\nu\sigma} G^{\lambda\sigma} \text{ (and all allowed permutations):}$$

Shorthand:

FFF GGG FFG \dashrightarrow etc.
 FFD GGH FFH
 FFP GGM FFM
 FDD GHH
 FDD GHM
 FPP GMM
 DDD HHH
 PPP MMM etc.

Shorthand:

FFFF \dashrightarrow etc.
 FFFD
 FFFP
 FFFG
 FFFH
 FFFM
 ↓
 etc.

e.g. F D P G H and all allowed permutations

e.g. F D P G H M and all allowed permutations

Note: Obviously, not all of these combinations of fields will be truly unique!

e.g.

$$F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\lambda\sigma} F_{\lambda\sigma} = (F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu})(F^{\lambda\sigma} F_{\lambda\sigma}) = \left[2 \left(B^2 - \left(\frac{E}{c} \right)^2 \right) \right]^2$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} P^{\lambda\sigma} P_{\lambda\sigma} &= (F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu})(P^{\lambda\sigma} P_{\lambda\sigma}) = 2 \left(B^2 - \left(\frac{E}{c} \right)^2 \right) \cdot 2(M^2 - c^2 P^2) \\
 &= 4 \left(B^2 - \left(\frac{E}{c} \right)^2 \right) (M^2 - c^2 P^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Maxwell's Equations in Tensor Notation for Macroscopic Linear Media

The classical electrodynamics version of Maxwell's equations for macroscopic linear media are:

$$1.) \text{ Gauss' Law: } \boxed{\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \rho_{tot} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} (\rho_{free} + \rho_{bound})} \text{ where: } \boxed{\rho_{tot} = \rho_{free} + \rho_{bound}}$$

$$\text{The auxiliary/constitutive relation } \boxed{\vec{D} = \epsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P}} \text{ gives: } \boxed{\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{D} = \epsilon_0 \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{P}}$$

$$\boxed{\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \rho_{tot}} \Rightarrow \boxed{\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{D} = \rho_{free}} \text{ and } \boxed{\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{P} = -\rho_{bound}}$$

$$\boxed{\vec{D} = \epsilon \vec{E}}, \boxed{\vec{P} = \epsilon_0 \chi_e \vec{E}} \Rightarrow \boxed{\epsilon = \epsilon_0 (1 + \chi_e)}$$

$$2.) \text{ No magnetic monopoles: } \boxed{\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0}$$

$$3.) \text{ Faraday's Law: } \boxed{\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}}$$

$$4.) \text{ Ampere's Law: } \boxed{\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = \mu_0 \vec{J}_{tot}} \text{ where: } \boxed{\vec{J}_{tot} = \vec{J}_{free} + \vec{J}_{bound}^M + \vec{J}_{bound}^P}$$

$$\text{The auxiliary/constitutive relation } \boxed{\vec{H} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \vec{B} - \vec{M}} \text{ gives: } \boxed{\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} - \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{M}}$$

$$\text{Maxwell's displacement current term } \boxed{\vec{J}_D = \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}}, \text{ polarization current: } \boxed{\vec{J}_{bound}^P = \frac{\partial \vec{P}}{\partial t}}$$

$$\boxed{\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} = \vec{J}_{free} + \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t}} \text{ and } \boxed{\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{M} = \vec{J}_{bound}^M} \text{ where: } \boxed{\frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} = \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \vec{P}}{\partial t} = \vec{J}_D + \vec{J}_{bound}^P}$$

$$\boxed{\vec{H} = \frac{1}{\mu} \vec{B}}, \boxed{\vec{M} = \chi_m \vec{H}} \Rightarrow \boxed{\mu = \mu_0 (1 + \chi_m)}$$

Relativistically, we have seen that Maxwell's four equations for free space / the vacuum {*i.e.* **no** matter present} are contained within the two tensor relations:

$$\boxed{\partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial F^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = \mu_0 J_{tot}^\mu} \text{ and: } \boxed{\partial_\nu G^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial G^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = 0}$$

$$\text{Given that: } \boxed{D^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} F^{\mu\nu} + P^{\mu\nu}} \text{ and: } \boxed{H^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} G^{\mu\nu} + M^{\mu\nu}}$$

We see that the ***inhomogenous*** relativistic Maxwell relations are:

$$\partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial F^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = \mu_0 J_{tot}^\mu$$

$$\partial_\nu D^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial D^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = J_{free}^\mu = (c\rho_{free}, \vec{J}_{free}) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{D} = \rho_{free} \\ \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{H} - \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} = \vec{J}_{free} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\partial_\nu P^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial P^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = J_{bound}^\mu = (-c\rho_{bound}, \vec{J}_{bound}) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{P} = -\rho_{bound} \\ \vec{J}_{bound} = \vec{J}_{bound}^P + \vec{J}_{bound}^M = \frac{\partial \vec{P}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{M} \end{array} \right.$$

Whereas the ***homogenous*** relativistic Maxwell relations are:

$$\partial_\nu G^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial G^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = 0 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \\ \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_\nu H^{\mu\nu} = -\partial_\nu M^{\mu\nu} \\ = \frac{\partial H^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = -\frac{\partial M^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} \end{array} \right. \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{H} = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{M} \\ \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{D} = -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \vec{H}}{\partial t} \\ \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{P} = +\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial t} \end{array} \right.$$

The relativistic constitutive relations for linear, homogeneous, uniform, isotropic media {i.e. the relativistic analogs of $\vec{D} = \epsilon \vec{E}$ and $\vec{B} = \mu \vec{H}$ } were proposed by H. Minkowski as:

$$D^{\mu\nu} \eta_\nu = c^2 \epsilon F^{\mu\nu} \eta_\nu \quad \text{and:} \quad H^{\mu\nu} \eta_\nu = \frac{1}{\mu} G^{\mu\nu} \eta_\nu$$

n.b.

The relativistic *EM* field tensors are ***contracted*** with the ***proper*** 4-velocity η^μ .

The relativistic *EM* field tensors are defined in the ***rest/proper*** frame IRF(S) of the linear material.

ϵ is the ***proper*** electric permittivity and μ is the ***proper*** magnetic permeability.

Both quantities are defined in the ***rest/proper*** frame IRF(S) of the ***linear*** material.

η^μ is the (contravariant) ***proper*** 4-velocity of the material.

We can easily show that these formulae give the correct constitutive relations when the linear material is at rest, *i.e.* when $\eta_\nu = (-c, 0, 0, 0)$. Here, the sum over ν collapses to a single term:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{In the} \\ \text{rest/proper} \\ \text{frame of the} \\ \text{linear material:} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D^{\mu\nu} \eta_\nu = c^2 \varepsilon F^{\mu\nu} \eta_\nu \Rightarrow D^{\mu 0} \eta_0 = c^2 \varepsilon F^{\mu 0} \eta_0 \Rightarrow -c \vec{D} = -c^2 \varepsilon (\vec{E}/c) \Rightarrow \vec{D} = \varepsilon \vec{E} \\ H^{\mu\nu} \eta_\nu = \frac{1}{\mu} G^{\mu\nu} \eta_\nu \Rightarrow H^{\mu 0} \eta_0 = \frac{1}{\mu} G^{\mu 0} \eta_0 \Rightarrow -\vec{H} = -\frac{1}{\mu} \vec{B} \Rightarrow \vec{H} = \frac{1}{\mu} \vec{B} \end{array} \right.$$

If the **linear** medium is moving *e.g.* in the **lab** frame IRF(S) with **ordinary** velocity \vec{u} then:

$$\eta_\nu = (-\gamma c, \gamma \vec{u}) = \gamma(-c, \vec{u}). \text{ Then for } \vec{u} \neq 0: D^{\mu\nu} \eta_\nu = c^2 \varepsilon F^{\mu\nu} \eta_\nu \text{ and: } H^{\mu\nu} \eta_\nu = \frac{1}{\mu} G^{\mu\nu} \eta_\nu$$

For $\mu = 0$:

$$D^{0\nu} \eta_\nu = D^{00} \eta_0 + D^{01} \eta_1 + D^{02} \eta_2 + D^{03} \eta_3 = 0 + c D_x(\gamma u_x) + c D_y(\gamma u_y) + c D_z(\gamma u_z) = \gamma c (\vec{D} \cdot \vec{u})$$

$$F^{0\nu} \eta_\nu = F^{00} \eta_0 + F^{01} \eta_1 + F^{02} \eta_2 + F^{03} \eta_3 = 0 + \frac{E_x}{c}(\gamma u_x) + \frac{E_y}{c}(\gamma u_y) + \frac{E_z}{c}(\gamma u_z) = \gamma \frac{1}{c} (\vec{E} \cdot \vec{u})$$

$$\therefore D^{0\nu} \eta_\nu = c^2 \varepsilon F^{0\nu} \eta_\nu \Rightarrow \gamma c (\vec{D} \cdot \vec{u}) = c^2 \varepsilon \left(\frac{\gamma}{c} \right) (\vec{E} \cdot \vec{u}) \text{ or: } \vec{D} \cdot \vec{u} = \varepsilon (\vec{E} \cdot \vec{u})$$

And:

$$H^{0\nu} \eta_\nu = H^{00} \eta_0 + H^{01} \eta_1 + H^{02} \eta_2 + H^{03} \eta_3 = H_x(\gamma u_x) + H_y(\gamma u_y) + H_z(\gamma u_z) = \gamma (\vec{H} \cdot \vec{u})$$

$$G^{0\nu} \eta_\nu = G^{00} \eta_0 + G^{01} \eta_1 + G^{02} \eta_2 + G^{03} \eta_3 = B_x(\gamma u_x) + B_y(\gamma u_y) + B_z(\gamma u_z) = \gamma (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{u})$$

$$\therefore H^{0\nu} \eta_\nu = \frac{1}{\mu} G^{0\nu} \eta_\nu \Rightarrow \gamma (\vec{H} \cdot \vec{u}) = \frac{1}{\mu} \gamma (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{u}) \text{ or: } \vec{H} \cdot \vec{u} = \frac{1}{\mu} (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{u})$$

Similarly for $\mu = 1$:

$$D^{1\nu} \eta_\nu = D^{10} \eta_0 + D^{11} \eta_1 + D^{12} \eta_2 + D^{13} \eta_3 = +\gamma c^2 D_x + \gamma H_z u_y - \gamma H_y u_z = \gamma (c^2 D_x + (\vec{u} \times \vec{H})_x)$$

$$F^{1\nu} \eta_\nu = F^{10} \eta_0 + F^{11} \eta_1 + F^{12} \eta_2 + F^{13} \eta_3 = \gamma E_x + \gamma B_z u_y - \gamma B_y u_z = \gamma (E_x + (\vec{u} \times \vec{B})_x)$$

$$\therefore D^{1\nu} \eta_\nu = c^2 \varepsilon F^{1\nu} \eta_\nu \Rightarrow \gamma (c^2 D_x + (\vec{u} \times \vec{H})_x) = \gamma (E_x + (\vec{u} \times \vec{B})_x) c \Rightarrow \vec{D} + \frac{1}{c^2} (\vec{u} \times \vec{H}) = \varepsilon [\vec{E} + (\vec{u} \times \vec{B})]$$

And:

$$H^{1\nu} \eta_\nu = H^{10} \eta_0 + H^{11} \eta_1 + H^{12} \eta_2 + H^{13} \eta_3 = +\gamma c H_x - \gamma c D_z u_y + \gamma c D_y u_z = \gamma c (H_x - (\vec{u} \times \vec{D})_z)$$

$$G^{1\nu} \eta_\nu = G^{10} \eta_0 + G^{11} \eta_1 + G^{12} \eta_2 + G^{13} \eta_3 = +\gamma c B_x - \gamma \frac{E_z}{c} u_y + \gamma \frac{E_y}{c} u_z = \frac{\gamma}{c} [c^2 B_x - (\vec{u} \times \vec{E})_x]$$

$$\therefore H^{01} \eta_\nu = \frac{1}{\mu} G^{1\nu} \eta_\nu \Rightarrow \gamma c (H_x - (\vec{u} \times \vec{D})_z) = \frac{\gamma}{c} [c^2 B_x - (\vec{u} \times \vec{E})_x] \frac{1}{\mu} \Rightarrow \vec{H} - (\vec{u} \times \vec{D}) = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\vec{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} (\vec{u} \times \vec{E}) \right]$$

Thus for: $D^{\mu\nu}\eta_\nu = c^2 \epsilon F^{\mu\nu}\eta_\nu$ and: $H^{\mu\nu}\eta_\nu = \frac{1}{\mu} G^{\mu\nu}\eta_\nu$

We obtain:

$$\vec{D} + \frac{1}{c^2}(\vec{u} \times \vec{H}) = \epsilon [\vec{E} + (\vec{u} \times \vec{B})] \quad \text{and:} \quad \vec{H} = (\vec{u} \times \vec{D}) = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\vec{B} = \frac{1}{c^2}(\vec{u} \times \vec{E}) \right] **$$

Insert: $\vec{H} = (\vec{u} \times \vec{D}) + \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\vec{B} = \frac{1}{c^2}(\vec{u} \times \vec{E}) \right]$

Into: $\vec{D} + \frac{1}{c^2}(\vec{u} \times \vec{H}) = \epsilon [\vec{E} + (\vec{u} \times \vec{B})]$ Solve for \vec{D} :

$$\vec{D} + \frac{1}{c^2} \vec{u} \times (\vec{u} \times \vec{D}) + \frac{1}{c^2 \mu} (\vec{u} \times \vec{B}) - \frac{1}{c^4 \mu} \vec{u} \times (\vec{u} \times \vec{E}) = \epsilon \vec{E} + \epsilon (\vec{u} \times \vec{B})$$

Use the $\vec{B}(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{C}) - \vec{C}(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B})$ rule on the first triple cross-product:

$$\vec{D} + \frac{1}{c^2} [\vec{u}(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{D}) - u^2 \vec{D}] = \epsilon [\vec{E} + (\vec{u} \times \vec{B})] - \frac{1}{\mu c^2} (\vec{u} \times \vec{B}) + \frac{1}{\mu c^4} [\vec{u} \times (\vec{u} \times \vec{E})]$$

But: $(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{D}) = \epsilon (\vec{u} \cdot \vec{E})$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{D} \left(1 - \frac{u^2}{c^2} \right) &= -\frac{\epsilon}{c^2} \vec{u} (\vec{u} \cdot \vec{E}) + \epsilon [\vec{E} + (\vec{u} \times \vec{B})] - \frac{1}{\mu c^2} (\vec{u} \times \vec{B}) + \frac{1}{\mu c^4} [(\vec{E} \cdot \vec{u}) \vec{u} - u^2 \vec{E}] \\ &= \epsilon \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{u^2}{\epsilon \mu c^4} \right] \vec{E} - \frac{1}{c^2} \left[1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon \mu c^2} \right] (\vec{E} \cdot \vec{u}) \vec{u} + (\vec{u} \times \vec{B}) \left[1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon \mu c^2} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Define: $\gamma_u \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - u^2/c^2}}$ and: $v \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon \mu}}$ = speed of propagation of EM waves in the **linear** medium.

Then: $\vec{D} = \gamma_u^2 \epsilon \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{u^2 v^2}{c^4} \right) \vec{E} + \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2} \right) \vec{E} + \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2} \right) [(\vec{u} \times \vec{B}) - \frac{1}{c^2} (\vec{E} \cdot \vec{u}) \vec{u}] \right\}$

From equation ** (RHS up above):

$$\vec{H} = (\vec{u} \times \vec{D}) = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\vec{B} = \frac{1}{c^2} (\vec{u} \times \vec{E}) \right]$$

Insert: $\vec{D} = \epsilon [\vec{E} + (\vec{u} \times \vec{B})] - \frac{1}{c^2} (\vec{u} \times \vec{H})$ Solve for \vec{H} :

$$\therefore \boxed{\vec{H} - \vec{u} \times \left\{ -\frac{1}{c^2} (\vec{u} \times \vec{H}) + \varepsilon \left[\vec{E} + (\vec{u} \times \vec{B}) \right] \right\}} = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\vec{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} (\vec{u} \times \vec{E}) \right]}$$

$$\boxed{\vec{H} + \frac{1}{c^2} \left[(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{H}) \vec{u} - u^2 \vec{H} \right]} = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\vec{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} (\vec{u} \times \vec{E}) \right] + \varepsilon (\vec{u} \times \vec{E}) + \varepsilon \left[\vec{u} \times (\vec{u} \times \vec{B}) \right]}$$

$$\text{But: } \boxed{(\vec{H} \cdot \vec{u}) = (\vec{u} \cdot \vec{H}) = \frac{1}{\mu} (\vec{u} \cdot \vec{B})}$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\vec{H} \left(1 - \frac{u^2}{c^2} \right) = \frac{1}{\mu c^2} (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{u}) \vec{u} + \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\vec{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} (\vec{u} \times \vec{E}) \right] + \varepsilon (\vec{u} \times \vec{E}) + \varepsilon \left[(\vec{B} \cdot \vec{u}) \vec{u} - u^2 \vec{B} \right]}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu} \left\{ \left[1 - \mu \varepsilon u^2 \right] \vec{B} + \left(\varepsilon \mu - \frac{1}{c^2} \right) \left[(\vec{u} \times \vec{E}) + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{u}) \vec{u} \right] \right\}$$

$$\text{But: } \boxed{\gamma_u \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - u^2/c^2}}} \text{ and: } \boxed{v \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon \mu}}}$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\vec{H} = \frac{\gamma_u^2}{\mu} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{u^2}{v^2} \right) \vec{B} + \left(\frac{1}{v^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \right) \left[(\vec{u} \times \vec{E}) + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{u}) \vec{u} \right] \right\}}$$

Thus, the macroscopic \vec{D} and \vec{H} -fields in a **linear** medium moving with **ordinary** velocity \vec{u} in IRF(S) in terms of the \vec{E} and \vec{B} fields present **in** IRF(S) are:

$$\boxed{\vec{D} = \gamma_u^2 \varepsilon \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{u^2 v^2}{c^4} \right) \vec{E} + \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2} \right) \left[(\vec{u} \times \vec{B}) - \frac{1}{c^2} (\vec{E} \cdot \vec{u}) \vec{u} \right] \right\}}$$
 with: $\boxed{\gamma_u \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - u^2/c^2}}}$

$$\boxed{\vec{H} = \frac{\gamma_u^2}{\mu} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{u^2}{v^2} \right) \vec{B} + \left(\frac{1}{v^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \right) \left[(\vec{u} \times \vec{E}) + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{u}) \vec{u} \right] \right\}}$$
 and: $\boxed{v \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon \mu}}}$

Note that ε and μ are the **proper** electric permittivity and the **proper** magnetic permeability of the linear medium – *i.e.* they are defined in the **rest/proper** frame of the **linear** medium.

When $\boxed{u = |\vec{u}| \ll c}$, then $\boxed{\gamma_u \approx 1}$ {*i.e.* the non-relativistic limit}. Keeping only terms **linear** in \vec{u} :

$$\boxed{\vec{D} \approx \varepsilon \vec{E} + \underbrace{\left(1 - (v/c)^2 \right) (\vec{u} \times \vec{B})}_{\text{Usually very small}} \approx \varepsilon \vec{E}}$$
 and: $\boxed{\vec{H} \approx \frac{1}{\mu} \vec{B} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{v^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \right) (\vec{u} \times \vec{E})}_{\text{Usually very small}} \approx \frac{1}{\mu} \vec{B}}$